# PGP College of Arts and Science

**(Affiliated to Periyar University)**
**(Recognised under section 2(f) & 12(B) of UGC Act, 1956,**
**NAAC Accredited institution)**
**Namakkal-Karur NH, Paramathi Velur PO, Namakkal**


## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)
## FEEDBACK ANALYSIS & ACTION TAKEN REPORT 2018-19

Our college will get regular feedback from the students with regard to comprising of covering of syllabus, communication, teaching methodology in various aspects. Feedback also received from teachers, recruiters and alumni during various meetings held during each academic session. Sample feedback forms are available in our college website.

IQAC has played a dominant role analyzing the data accordingly. Based on the IQAC analysis on various feedbacks the necessary actions will be taken.

### 1. FEEDBACK ANALYSIS AND ACTION TAKEN REPORT- STUDENTS

Feedback was collected by IQAC from students about the teacher college infrastructure and different other aspects during academic year 2018-19. The representation of feedback analysis and summary of action taken report are as follows.

**i. Feedback Analysis**

| S. No. | Description | Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | How do you feel being an alumni of PGPCAS | 58 | 26 | 12 | 4 |
| 2 | Admission procedure | 63 | 27 | 8 | 2 |
| 3 | Fee structure | 61 | 26 | 9 | 4 |
| 4 | Infrastructure | 62 | 24 | 12 | 2 |
| 5 | Faculty motivation during course of study | 60 | 27 | 10 | 3 |
| 6 | Quality of study material | 58 | 26 | 13 | 3 |
| 7 | Training and Placement | 57 | 24 | 16 | 3 |
| 8 | Campus environment | 56 | 29 | 12 | 3 |
| 9 | Canteen/ Stationery provisions | 57 | 28 | 12 | 3 |
| 10 | Transport facility | 57 | 27 | 14 | 2 |

**ii. Action Taken Report- Based On the Feedback Received from Students**

- Our college planning to support experiential learning, participative learning, for enhancing learning and experiences through creative methods.

- We asked our teachers to prepare assignments, seminar, and paper presentation in advance with lot of additional references for the upliftment of our students.
- Our college is planning to have numerous subject books, general books in library and E-Resources in library for each program.
- Our College is planning to encourage internship, student exchange, and field visitopportunities for students other than the academic activities**.**

## 2. FEEDBACK ANALYSIS AND ACTION TAKEN REPORT- TEACHERS

Feedback was collected by IQAC from teachers about the college infrastructure and different other aspects during academic year 2018-19. The representation of feedback analysis and summary of action taken report are as follows.

### i. Feedback Analysis

| S.No | Description | Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Freedom to design courses | 56 | 26 | 15 | 3 |
| 2 | Augmented ethical and environmental standards | 54 | 28 | 15 | 3 |
| 3 | ICT enabled classrooms | 60 | 24 | 13 | 3 |
| 4 | Students' academic response and personal response | 57 | 26 | 12 | 5 |
| 5 | Motivation to pursue research | 62 | 22 | 13 | 3 |
| 6 | Recognition through financial assistance/ awards | 57 | 26 | 14 | 3 |
| 7 | Encouragement to present/ publish papers | 56 | 26 | 15 | 3 |
| 8 | Motivation to organize and participate in Faculty Development Programme | 58 | 27 | 12 | 3 |
| 9 | Freedom to design activities | 63 | 23 | 13 | 1 |
| 10 | Parents' support | 60 | 24 | 13 | 3 |

### ii. Action Taken Report- Based On the Feedback Received From Teachers

- In our prescribed syllabus our students are studying EVS course, in addition to this college also planned to motivate our students about environment issues and how they are react to the environmental conservation.
- We plan to provide more ICT enabled class room in the college for bringing out new methods, strategies of teaching to help experiential learning among students.
- Our college provides plenty of opportunities and support to faculty members for upgrading their skills and also have a plan to assist through financial support.
- Our teachers teach the benefits of their course and outcome of the programme in the college prospectus. in addition to this we also have a plan to conduct more add-on and certificate course.

- For each department we have plan to conduct parent teachers meeting for our student's progress and we need the fullest support from our parents.

## 3. FEEDBACK ANALYSIS AND ACTION TAKEN REPORT- RECRUITER

Feedback was collected by IQAC from teachers about the college infrastructure and different other aspects during academic year 2018-19. The representation of feedback analysis and summary of action taken report are as follows.

### i. Feedback Analysis

| S.No | Description | Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Faculty Response | 64 | 25 | 6 | 5 |
| 2 | Involvement of Placement Cell | 61 | 24 | 12 | 3 |
| 3 | Subject Knowledge | 63 | 25 | 11 | 1 |
| 4 | Communicative Skill | 61 | 26 | 11 | 2 |
| 5 | Dress Code | 62 | 23 | 12 | 3 |
| 6 | Confidence Level | 61 | 25 | 12 | 2 |
| 7 | Behavioral Skill | 61 | 24 | 13 | 2 |
| 8 | Curriculum Updation | 64 | 26 | 8 | 2 |
| 9 | Scope for Internship/ Training/ Research | 60 | 25 | 12 | 3 |
| 10 | Critical and Creative Thinking | 61 | 23 | 14 | 2 |

### ii. Action Taken Report- Based On the Feedback Received From Recruiter

- Our college have faculties, who work with involvement to equip our students in terms of leadership, planning and organization skills, we plan to have extra training from various trainer as outside resources also.
- Our college plan to prepare students with suitable knowledge and skill sets required for professional competence. Placement cell fetch companies for our student's placement.
- Our college lady faculty are insisted to educate dress coding for students those who are coming from rural background
- Our College follows the courses designed by the parent university; but we plan to forward our suggestions for the revision of syllabus to have good subject knowledge. We plan to increase our Add-on and certificate courses which will support our student's carrier.
- Our College follows the courses designed by the parent university; we plan to implement the Internship Field visit for the practical knowledge of improvement of our students.

## 4. FEEDBACK ANALYSIS AND ACTION TAKEN REPORT- ALUMNI

Feedback was collected by IQAC from teachers about the college infrastructure and different other aspects during academic year 2018-19. The representation of feedback analysis and

summary of action taken report are as follows.

**i. Feedback Analysis**

| S. No. | Description | Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | How do you feel being an alumni of PGPCAS | 61 | 24 | 12 | 3 |
| 2 | Admission procedure | 62 | 26 | 11 | 1 |
| 3 | Fee structure | 61 | 27 | 10 | 2 |
| 4 | Infrastructure | 61 | 27 | 11 | 1 |
| 5 | Faculty motivation during course of study | 60 | 26 | 12 | 2 |
| 6 | Quality of study material | 60 | 26 | 12 | 2 |
| 7 | Training and Placement | 61 | 23 | 13 | 3 |
| 8 | Campus environment | 62 | 26 | 10 | 2 |
| 9 | Canteen/ Stationery provisions | 62 | 25 | 10 | 3 |
| 10 | Transport facility | 61 | 25 | 12 | 2 |

**ii. Action Taken Report- Based On the Feedback Received From Alumni**

- more number of placement training programs are conducted for the students periodically and our teachers are motivated our students with soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make them ready for the world beyond college
- We plan to construct new building for our arts and life science student's requirement.
- As per our student's requirement, we have a plan to follow three installment methods for semester fee payment.
- We plan to improve bus facility for student's safety transportation.
- We plan to have a separate canteen and stationery shop for our student's welfare.

# PGP COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
## NAMAKKAL
## STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

| | |
|---|---|
| **Name of Student:** NANDHAKUMAR.E | **Academic Year:** 2018-2019 |
| **Department:** FOOD PROCESSING | **Year/ Semester:** 2018 / I^st ODD SEM. |

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the programme. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

**(1-Strongly disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Uncertain, 4- Agree, 5- Strongly agree)**

| | Feedback On Course | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | The Syllabus was challenging | | | | ✓ | |
| 2 | The allocation of the credits to the course is appropriate in relation to the level of course work | | | | | ✓ |
| 3 | Syllabus equipped me with necessary technical skills to face the industrial needs. | | | | ✓ | |
| 4 | The syllabus enabled me to improve my ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems | | | | | ✓ |
| 5 | Syllabus inculcated necessary ethical values and concern for the society. | | | | ✓ | |
| 6 | The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map onto the syllabus | | | | | ✓ |
| | **Feedback on Programme** | | | | | |
| 7 | The pre-requisite courses are appropriate for this course. | | | | ✓ | |
| 8 | The electives offered are relevant to the specialization streams and to the technological advancements. | | | | ✓ | |

**Recommendations for course improvement** (Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc. if any)

**Date:** 09.08.2018.

**Signature of Student**

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of Food Processing,
PGP College of Arts & Science,
NAMAKKAL - 637 206.

# PGP COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
## NAMAKKAL
## STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

| | |
|---|---|
| Name of Student: N. SANDHIYA | Academic Year: 2018-2019 |
| Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE | Year/ Semester: 2018-I SEMESTER |

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the programme. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

**(1-Strongly disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Uncertain, 4- Agree, 5- Strongly agree)**

| | Feedback On Course | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | The Syllabus was challenging | | | | ✓ | |
| 2 | The allocation of the credits to the course is appropriate in relation to the level of course work | | | | | ✓ |
| 3 | Syllabus equipped me with necessary technical skills to face the industrial needs. | | | | ✓ | |
| 4 | The syllabus enabled me to improve my ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems | | | | | ✓ |
| 5 | Syllabus inculcated necessary ethical values and concern for the society. | | | | ✓ | |
| 6 | The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map onto the syllabus | | | | | ✓ |
| | **Feedback on Programme** | | | | | |
| 7 | The pre-requisite courses are appropriate for this course. | | | | ✓ | |
| 8 | The electives offered are relevant to the specialization streams and to the technological advancements. | | | | ✓ | |

**Recommendations for course improvement** (Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc. if any)

---

**Date:** 12/09/2018

N. Sandhiya.
**Signature of Student**

Head
Department of Computer Science & Applications
PGP College of Arts & Science
Namakkal.

# PGP COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
## NAMAKKAL
## STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

| | |
|---|---|
| **Name of Student:** RAMESH.P | **Academic Year:** 2018- 2019 |
| **Department:** PHYSICS | **Year/ Semester:** 2018 / I -Semester |

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the programme. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

**(1-Strongly disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Uncertain, 4- Agree, 5- Strongly agree)**

| | Feedback On Course | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | The Syllabus was challenging | | | | ✓ | |
| 2 | The allocation of the credits to the course is appropriate in relation to the level of course work | | | | | ✓ |
| 3 | Syllabus equipped me with necessary technical skills to face the industrial needs. | | | | ✓ | |
| 4 | The syllabus enabled me to improve my ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems | | | | | ✓ |
| 5 | Syllabus inculcated necessary ethical values and concern for the society. | | | | | ✓ |
| 6 | The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map onto the syllabus . | | | | ✓ | |
| | **Feedback on Programme** | | | | | |
| 7 | The pre-requisite courses are appropriate for this course. | | | | ✓ | |
| 8 | The electives offered are relevant to the specialization streams and to the technological advancements. | | | | | ✓ |

**Recommendations for course improvement** (Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc. if any)

---

**Date:** 10.7.2018

Head of the Department
Department of Physics
PGP College of Arts and Science
Namakkal - 637 207

**Signature of Student**

# PGP COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
# NAMAKKAL

## STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

| | |
|---|---|
| **Name of Student:** S. JEEVITHA | **Academic Year:** 2018 - 2019 |
| **Department:** BIOTECHNOLOGY | **Year/ Semester:** I, 1st SEM |

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the programme. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

**(1-Strongly disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Uncertain, 4- Agree, 5- Strongly agree)**

| | **Feedback On Course** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | The Syllabus was challenging | | | | | ✓ |
| 2 | The allocation of the credits to the course is appropriate in relation to the level of course work | | | | | ✓ |
| 3 | Syllabus equipped me with necessary technical skills to face the industrial needs. | | | | ✓ | |
| 4 | The syllabus enabled me to improve my ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems | | | | ✓ | |
| 5 | Syllabus inculcated necessary ethical values and concern for the society. | | | | | ✓ |
| 6 | The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map onto the syllabus | | | | | ✓ |
| | **Feedback on Programme** | | | | | |
| 7 | The pre-requisite courses are appropriate for this course. | | | | | ✓ |
| 8 | The electives offered are relevant to the specialization streams and to the technological advancements. | | | | ✓ | |

**Recommendations for course improvement** (Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc. if any)

---

**Date:** 10.09.2018

**Signature of Student**

Department of Biotechnology
PGP College of Arts & Science
Namakkal 637 003

# PGP COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
# NAMAKKAL

## STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

| | |
|---|---|
| Name of Student: I. Anantha kumar | Academic Year: 2018-2019 |
| Department: B.Sc Microbiology | Year/ Semester: 1st Year |

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the programme. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

**(1-Strongly disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Uncertain, 4- Agree, 5- Strongly agree)**

| | Feedback On Course | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | The Syllabus was challenging | | | | ✓ | |
| 2 | The allocation of the credits to the course is appropriate in relation to the level of course work | | | | | ✓ |
| 3 | Syllabus equipped me with necessary technical skills to face the industrial needs. | | | | ✓ | |
| 4 | The syllabus enabled me to improve my ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems | | | | ✓ | |
| 5 | Syllabus inculcated necessary ethical values and concern for the society. | | | | ✓ | |
| 6 | The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map onto the syllabus | | | | | ✓ |
| | **Feedback on Programme** | | | | | |
| 7 | The pre-requisite courses are appropriate for this course. | | | | ✓ | |
| 8 | The electives offered are relevant to the specialization streams and to the technological advancements. | | | | ✓ | |

**Recommendations for course improvement** (Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc. if any)

---

Date: 14.12.2018

I. Anantha

**Signature of Student**

PGP COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCE NAMAKKAL 637 206

# PGP COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

## NAMAKKAL

### FACULTY FEEDBACK

Dear Faculty Members,

The objective of this feedback on curriculum is to update this considering the needs and requirements for student's employability, higher studies or entrepreneurship. Kindly give your response

1. **Far from satisfied, 2- not satisfied, 3- satisfied, 4- happy, 5- very happy**

**Fill in the Blanks given below about the course for which feedback is to be given**

**Email Address** : sudhakarbt@gmail.com

**Name of the Programme** : B.Sc. BIOTECHNOLOGY

**Session** : 2018 - 2019

**Semester** : 0000 SEM (0018)

**Courses** : BIOTECHNOLOGY

- The Curriculum is as per the need of Students

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Contents of the Course have been Presented from simple to Complex form

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- The Curriculum Provides opportunity for the conducting research and Project related activities

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Contents of the Course are in conformity with the learning outcomes

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- The Curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical Knowledge

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☐

- The Contents of the curriculum are in tune with the UGC- NET/CSIR examinations
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- The Curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self learning among the students
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☐

- The Curriculum Development procedure needs improvement.
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- The learning outcomes of the curriculum are of global standard
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Curriculum has focus on Skill Development
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☐

- The opinion of the faculty members are taken in to account during curriculum revision
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The presence of Students representative the curriculum has no value
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐
- Any suggestion for improving the curriculum?

| |
|---|
| — NA — |

Dr. T. Yamuna
Department of Biotechnology
PGP College of Arts & Science
Namakkal

PGP COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCE
NAMAKKAL
637 206

# PGP COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

## NAMAKKAL

### FACULTY FEEDBACK

Dear Faculty Members,

The objective of this feedback on curriculum is to update this considering the needs and requirements for student's employability, higher studies or entrepreneurship. Kindly give your response

1. **Far from satisfied, 2- not satisfied, 3- satisfied, 4- happy, 5- very happy**

**Fill in the Blanks given below about the course for which feedback is to be given**

**Email Address** : PgPcasfoodProcessing48@gmail.com

**Name of the Programme** : M.Sc. Food Processing.

**Session** : 2018 - 2019

**Semester** : IInd EVEN

**Courses** : M.Sc. Food Processing.

- The Curriculum is as per the need of Students

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Contents of the Course have been Presented from simple to Complex form

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☑ 4.☐ 5.☐

- The Curriculum Provides opportunity for the conducting research and Project related activities

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Contents of the Course are in conformity with the learning outcomes

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical Knowledge

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☑ 4.☐ 5.☐

- The Contents of the curriculum are in tune with the UGC- NET/CSIR examinations
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self learning among the students
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- The Curriculum Development procedure needs improvement.
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☑ 4.☐ 5.☐

- The learning outcomes of the curriculum are of global standard
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Curriculum has focus on Skill Development
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The opinion of the faculty members are taken in to account during curriculum revision
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The presence of Students representative the curriculum has no value
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☑ 4.☐ 5.☐

- Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☑ 4.☐ 5.☐
- Any suggestion for improving the curriculum?

| |
|---|
| |

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Dept. of Food Processing,
PGP College of Arts & Science,
NAMAKKAL - 637 206.

# PGP COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

# NAMAKKAL

## FACULTY FEEDBACK

Dear Faculty Members,

The objective of this feedback on curriculum is to update this considering the needs and requirements for student's employability, higher studies or entrepreneurship. Kindly give your response

1. **Far from satisfied, 2- not satisfied, 3- satisfied, 4- happy, 5- very happy**

**Fill in the Blanks given below about the course for which feedback is to be given**

**Email Address** : Sugna.jeya@gmail.com

**Name of the Programme** : Computer Science

**Session** : 2018 - 2019

**Semester** : 2018 - 2019 ( I Semester)

**Courses** : B.Sc (Computer Science)

- The Curriculum is as per the need of Students

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- The Contents of the Course have been Presented from simple to Complex form

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Curriculum Provides opportunity for the conducting research and Project related activities

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- The Contents of the Course are in conformity with the learning outcomes

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical Knowledge

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- The Contents of the curriculum are in tune with the UGC- NET/CSIR examinations
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- The Curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self learning among the students
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Curriculum Development procedure needs improvement.
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The learning outcomes of the curriculum are of global standard
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- The Curriculum has focus on Skill Development
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The opinion of the faculty members are taken in to account during curriculum revision
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The presence of Students representative the curriculum has no value
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑
- Any suggestion for improving the curriculum?

| |
|---|
| |

Department of Computer Science & Applications
PGP College of Arts & Science
Namakkal.

# PGP COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

## NAMAKKAL

### FACULTY FEEDBACK

Dear Faculty Members,

The objective of this feedback on curriculum is to update this considering the needs and requirements for student's employability, higher studies or entrepreneurship. Kindly give your response

1. **Far from satisfied, 2- not satisfied, 3- satisfied, 4- happy, 5- very happy**

**Fill in the Blanks given below about the course for which feedback is to be given**

**Email Address** : einsteinphysics2010@gmail.com

**Name of the Programme** : B.Sc Physics

**Session** : 2018 – 2019

**Semester** : I - Semesters

**Courses** : B.Sc Physics.

- The Curriculum is as per the need of Students

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Contents of the Course have been Presented from simple to Complex form

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- The Curriculum Provides opportunity for the conducting research and Project related activities

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Contents of the Course are in conformity with the learning outcomes

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical Knowledge

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐
- The Contents of the curriculum are in tune with the UGC- NET/CSIR examinations
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐
- The Curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self learning among the students
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑
- The Curriculum Development procedure needs improvement.
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑
- The learning outcomes of the curriculum are of global standard
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑
- The Curriculum has focus on Skill Development
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐
- The opinion of the faculty members are taken in to account during curriculum revision
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐
- The presence of Students representative the curriculum has no value
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑
- Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑
- Any suggestion for improving the curriculum?

Head of the Department
Department of Physics
PGP College of Arts and Science
Namakkal - 637 207

# PGP COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

## NAMAKKAL

### FACULTY FEEDBACK

Dear Faculty Members,

The objective of this feedback on curriculum is to update this considering the needs and requirements for student's employability, higher studies or entrepreneurship. Kindly give your response

1. **Far from satisfied, 2- not satisfied, 3- satisfied, 4- happy, 5- very happy**

**Fill in the Blanks given below about the course for which feedback is to be given**

**Email Address** : pgpcascommerce@gmail.com

**Name of the Programme** : M Com.,

**Session** : 2018-19

**Semester** : I st. Semester- 2018

**Courses** : M. Com.,

- The Curriculum is as per the need of Students

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Contents of the Course have been Presented from simple to Complex form

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☑ 4.☐ 5.☐

- The Curriculum Provides opportunity for the conducting research and Project related activities

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Contents of the Course are in conformity with the learning outcomes

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical Knowledge

  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Contents of the curriculum are in tune with the UGC- NET/CSIR examinations
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self learning among the students
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☑

- The Curriculum Development procedure needs improvement.
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☑ 4.☐ 5.☐

- The learning outcomes of the curriculum are of global standard
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The Curriculum has focus on Skill Development
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The opinion of the faculty members are taken in to account during curriculum revision
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☑ 5.☐

- The presence of Students representative the curriculum has no value
  1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☑ 4.☐ 5.☐

- Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students
  1.☐ 2.☑ 3.☐ 4.☐ 5.☐
- Any suggestion for improving the curriculum?

Head of the Department
Department of Commerce
PGP College of Arts and Science
Namakkal - 637 001